1	MICHAEL J MICELI, ESQ. Nevada bar No. 10151					
2	PITARO & FUMO, CHTD.					
3	601 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, SOUTH LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 Phone: 702.474.7554 Fax: 702-474-4210					
4	Email: kristine.fumolaw@gmail.com					
5	Attorney for Defendant SINH VAN TRAN					
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
7	* * *					
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:20-CR-301-RFB-BNW-2					
10	Plaintiff,					
11	v.) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE SENTENCING					
12	SINH VAN TRAN,					
13 14) (1st Request)					
15	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between VIN SINH TRAN, Defendant, by and					
16 17	through his counsel MICHAEL J MICELI, ESQ, and the United States of America, JACOB					
18	OPERSKALSKI, Assistant United States Attorney, that Sentencing in the above-captioned					
19	matter currently scheduled January 5, 2023 at the hour of 11:00 a.m., be vacated and con	tinued				
20	for forty-five (45) days or to a date and time to be set by this Honorable Court.					
22	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:					
23	1. Counsel for defendant has spoken to his client and he has no objection to this					
24	continuance.					
25	2. Counsel has spoken to AUSA Jacob Operskalski and he has no objection to the	e				
26	continuance.					
27						
28	3. Defendant is out on pretrial release.					

- 4. Counsel will need additional time to adequately review the Presentence Investigation Report and confer with his client regarding the issues and concerns that client has.
- 5. Denial of this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time and the opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for sentencing in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 7. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date.
- 8. This is the 1st request for a continuance of the sentencing date in this case. DATED this 19th day of December 2022.

PITARO & FUMO, CHTD.

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Michael J. Miceli, Esq. MICHAEL J. MICELI, ESQ. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT SINH VAN TRAN

/s/Jacob Operskalski, Esq. JACOB OPERSKALSKI, ESQ. 601 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, SOUTH ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS 501 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD SOUTH. #1100 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

1	UNITED	STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:20-CR-301-RFB-BNW-2		
2)		
3		Plaintiff,	findings of fact and		
4	v.) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
5	SINH VAN TRAN,				
6) (1st Request) Defendant.				
7	FINDINGS OF FACT				
8	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the				
9	Court finds:				
10	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:				
11	1.	Counsel for defendant has spe	oken to his client and he has no objection to this		
13		continuance.			
14	2.	Counsel has spoken to AUSA	Jacob Operskalski and he has no objection to the		
15		continuance.			
16	3.	Defendant is out on pretrial re	elease.		
18	4.	Counsel will need additional	time to adequately review the Presentence Investigation		
19		Report and confer with his cl	ent regarding the issues and concerns that client has.		
20	5.	Denial of this request for con	tinuance would deny the parties herein time and the		
21		opportunity within which to e	ffectively and thoroughly research and prepare for		
22	sentencing in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.				
23					
24	6.	• /	quest for continuance would result in a miscarriage of		
25	justice.				
26	7.	7. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a			
27 28		continuance of the sentencing	date.		
	8.	This is the 1st request for a co	entinuance of the sentencing date in this case.		

Case 2:20-cr-00301-RFB-BNW Document 101 Filed 12/20/22 Page 3 of 4

1 2

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The end of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendants in a speedy trial since the failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Sentencing currently scheduled for January 5, 2023 at the hour of 11:00 a.m. be vacated and continued to this 23rd day of February , 2023, at the hour of 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 7C.

DATED this 20th of December , 2022.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II United States District Judge